UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,851	05/22/2007	Chaim M. Roifman	105769-0007-104	5624	
28120 ROPES & GRA	7590 10/28/200 XY LLP	8	EXAMINER		
PATENT DOC	KETING 39/41 ATIONAL PLACE		VALENROD, YEVGENY		
BOSTON, MA	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1621			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,851	ROIFMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTED y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the condition of the closed in accordance.	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	•	;
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 and 30-37 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-37 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-19 and 21 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fall b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Rejection of claims 1, 6, 7, and 9-21 under 35 USC 103(a) made over Roifman et al. is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments.

Search has been extended to species other than applicants' elected specie. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 are hereby rejoined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9-12 and 21rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ranu et al. (*Journal Of Organic Chemistry* **2003**, *68*, 7130-7132). In table 1, entry 10, page 7131 Ranu et al. disclose the following compound in acetonitrile (pharmaceutically acceptable solvent) which meets the structural limitations of claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9-12:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 and 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeya et al. (JP 0573206, English abstract; cited in the IDS).

Scope of prior art

Takeya et al. teach a generic compound of formula R1ArCHCHC(CN)COB (see abstract, n= 1). R1 includes the following definitions: -OCOR7 and NR10COR11, where R7, R10 and R11 are selected from H and C1-C12 hydrocarbyl (includes alkyl). B includes definitions: -OH, NHR14 wherein R14 is a C1-C12 hydrocarbyl (hydrocarbyl

includes compounds consisting of hydrogen and carbon and therefore includes alkyl aryl such the benzyl substituent found in the instant claims 16-19).

Ascertaining the difference between instant claims and prior art

Takeya et al teach the compound generically without providing examples that anticipate the instantly claimed compounds.

Obviousness

One skilled in the art would be motivated to prepare compounds encompassed by the generic formula of Takeya et al. The compounds are described as having utility as nonlinear optic elements which provides motivation for one skilled in the art to prepare the compounds as taught by Takeya. Since the compounds of the instant claims 1-3 and 6-19 fall within the generic disclosure of Takeya, they are deemed obvious.

Claim objections

Claims 4, 5 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The specific substituents of claims 4, 5 and 20 are not suggested in prior art. Tekeya et al teach only one substituent (R1, R2 or R3) on the phenyl ring while instant claims 4, 5 and 20 require

Art Unit: 1621

two. Ranu et al teach an ester moiety as instant R4, while claim 20 requires a benzyl amide.

Conclusion

Claims 1-21 and 30-37 are pending

Claims 30-37 are withdrawn.

Claims 1-3, 6-19 and 21 are rejected.

Claims 4, 5 and 20 are objected to.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,851 Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/

Yevgeny Valenrod Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

/Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621